

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/926,694		02/28/2002	Andreas Ulli	5085	3017	
26936	7590	07/12/2005	•	EXA	EXAMINER	
		O MATTARE, LTI AD - SUITE 110				
SILVER SP				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)			ULLI, ANDREAS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Daniel Zirker	1771			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
The Ap	opeal Brief filed on <u>25 May 2005</u> is defective for	failure to comply with one or	more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.			
MONT	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a of the or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this D MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.					
1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	ınder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or th	e items are not under the proper			
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. 🗆	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	t of each ground of rejection	presented for review (37 CFR			
6. 🔲	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	a separate heading for each	ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR			
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an app	endix thereto (37 CFR			
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	he brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding lentified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.37(c)(1)(x)).					
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):				
	Appellant's Brief contains several serious flaws. Init the brief noncompliant since this section has been e matter set forth therein is not understandable. Addit of the Brief misstates the relied upon Grounds of Ri Brief they are stated correctly. Finally, the discussion understood, since this reference has not been relied	eliminated, and additionally the tionally, appellant in the section ejection, although it is noted the on of the "Japanese '172 refere	Examiner believes that the subject p. "Grounds of Rejection" on page 2 at on page 3, third paragraph of the ance on page 4 of the Brief is not			

DANIEL ZIRKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Daniel Zuker